RENAL INJURY AND DYSFUNCTION AMONG HIV POSITIVE PATIENTS RECEIVING TENOFOVIR BASED ANTI-RETROVIRAL THERAPY
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Abstract

The rate of renal disease among patients with HIV has decreased significantly since the introduction of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART). Patients receiving tenofovir, disoproxil, fumarate (TDF) had an increased prevalence of proximal renal tubular dysfunction and injury but its clinical significance remain controversial. To define the renal tubulopathy injury among patients with HIV with and without TDF. A cross-sectional study was conducted among HIV positive patients receiving TDF (N=176) and non-TDF regimen (N=146) at outpatient clinic. All patients were evaluated regarding serum creatinine, electrolytes, phosphate and differing urinary parameters (proteinuria, glycosuria and pyuria). Estimated glomerular filtration rate (GFR) was calculated using CKD-EPI equation. Of 322 participants with mean age of 41.6 ± 11.4 years and HIV duration of 7.2 ± 4.3 years, the TDF and non-TDF groups were similar on most clinical and demographic factors. GFR was 100.6 ± 17.8 mL/min/1.73 m² in TDF group and 97.5 ± 19.6 mL/min/1.73 m² in non-TDF group (p = 0.143). During evaluation, 3.4% of TDF patients vs. none of the nonTDF - patients had hypophosphatemia (< 2.5 mg/dL), 3.9% of TDF - patients vs. 1.3% of nonTDF had hypokalemia (< 3.5 mg/dL), and 0.68% of TDF - patients vs. none of nonTDF patients had acidosis (<18 mEq/L) with no statistically significant difference between groups. The proportion of patients with evidence of urine abnormalities was also similar in the two groups (Dipsick proteinuria > 1+; TDF: 17.6% vs. non-TDF 20.5%, p = 0.568, and pyuria; TDF: 27.3% vs. nonTDF 20.5%, p = 0.192). Renal impairment, electrolyte disturbances and renal tubulopathy were uncommon among HIV positive patients receiving TDF-based antiretroviral therapy and did not significantly differ between TDF and nonTDF regimens.
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Introduction
Highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) has reduced the mortality and morbidity associated with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, a prodrug of tenofovir (TDF), is a potent nucleotide analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitor and is the first line HAART regimen according to the World Health Organization. TDF is mainly eliminated by glomerular filtration and 20% to 30% by proximal tubular secretion. Initially, case reports demonstrated TDF-associated acute tubular necrosis, proximal tubular injury and Fanconi syndrome with hypouricemia and hypophosphatemia.\(^1\,2\) In a cohort study, TDF-based anti-retroviral therapy was associated with GFR decline during the first years of treatment and relatively mild GFR decline in a long-term follow-up.\(^3\)

Currently, TDF-based antiretroviral therapy has been reported infrequently in renal toxicity and renal tubular dysfunction among HIV-positive patients.\(^4\,5\) The high incidence of TDF-associated nephropathy is related to aging, low body weight, low CD4 cell count, advanced HIV disease, preexisting kidney disease, concomitant hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection and concurrent use of other nephrotoxic drugs.\(^6\,9\) Little is known about renal safety of TDF among Thai patients on TDF. Here we report the results assessing renal safety of TDF and non-TDF-based antiretroviral therapy among Thai HIV-positive patients.

Methods

Study design and population

The cross-sectional study evaluated the renal safety of TDF treatment among HIV-positive patients during January 2014 and December 2014. The study protocol was approved by the institutional review boards and ethics committees. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients at screening. The primary objective was to determine the renal function and renal tubular defects between HIV-positive patient treatment with TDF and non-TDF regimens. Eligibility included male and female patients aged 18 to 85 years diagnosed with HIV receiving antiretroviral therapy treatment at least 12 weeks.

Measurements

The clinical and laboratory data were collected and recorded on case report forms. Safety was assessed by physical examinations, clinical laboratory tests and the incidence and severity of adverse events recorded from treatment. Serum creatinine, electrolytes, calcium, phosphate, uric acid, CD4+ cell count, plasma HIV-1 viral load and urine analysis were measured. The estimated glomerular filtration rate (GFR) was calculated using the CKD-EPI equation.

Urine protein was measured by a urine dipstick. Albuminuria and glycosuria were defined as ≥1+ on a urine dipstick. Pyuria was defined as ≥3 white blood cells per high power field urinalysis.

Statistical analysis

Data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and median with interquartile range. Continuous variables were assessed with the Student’s t-test or Mann-Whitney U test appropriately. Categorical variables were assessed with the Chi-square test. For all tests, a p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Statistical significance was determined as a p-value less than 0.05.

Results

A total of 322 (100% Thai ethnicity) with a mean age of 41.6 ± 11.4 years and HIV duration of 7.2 ± 4.3 years were included. Baseline demographics of the TDF and non-TDF patients are shown in Table 1. Compared with the non-TDF group, the TDF group exhibited lower mean age, CD4 count and the comorbid diseases of hypertension and dyslipidemia. The duration of HAART treatment was significantly longer in the non-TDF group compared with the TDF group. No significant differences in other baseline characteristics were noted between the two groups Table 1. Common medications and antiretroviral therapy among TDF and non-TDF patients are shown in Table 2. Mean GFR was 100.6 ± 17.8 mL/min/1.73 m² in the TDF group and 97.5 ± 19.6 mL/min/1.73 m² in the non-TDF group (p = 0.143).
Both serum creatinine and estimated GFR were similar across treatment groups Table 3. Overall tubular dysfunction levels regarding serum electrolytes and urine findings are shown in Fig 1. No significant difference in impaired GFR, hypophosphatemia, hypokalemia and metabolic acidosis incidence between the two groups was apparent. The proportion of patients with evidence of urine abnormalities was also similar in the two groups (Dipstick urine albumin>1+; TDF: 17.6% vs. nonTDF 20.5%, p = 0.568, dipstick urine glucose>1+; TDF:17.6% vs. nonTDF 20.5%, p = 0.568 and pyuria; TDF:27.3% vs. nonTDF 20.5%, p = 0.192). Finally, no clear difference in all renal functions and biochemical tubular parameters across treatment in either study was detected. Overall serious renal adverse events were not reported in both group.

### Table 1. Baseline characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TDF (N=176)</th>
<th>Non-TDF (N=146)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>38.4±11.5</td>
<td>45.3±10.0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (N, %)</td>
<td>177 (97.9%)</td>
<td>94 (64.9%)</td>
<td>0.787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>61.4±12.2</td>
<td>61.1±10.5</td>
<td>0.725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.0±3.9</td>
<td>22.4±3.5</td>
<td>0.395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>123.8±14.2</td>
<td>126.3±16.4</td>
<td>0.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>77.6±11.4</td>
<td>78.8±12.1</td>
<td>0.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of antiretroviral therapy (yr)</td>
<td>5.4±4.0</td>
<td>9.5±3.5</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Co-morbid diseases (N, %)
- Hypertension 14 (8%) vs 39 (26.7%) 0.000
- Dyslipidemia 32 (18.2%) vs 78 (53.2%) 0.000
- Type 2 diabetes 5 (2.8%) vs 9 (6.2%) 0.175
- Ischemic heart disease 9 (5.3%) vs 12 (8.3%) 0.333

Common co-infection (N, %)
- Mycobacterium tuberculosis 59 (33.7%) vs 49 (33.9%) 1.000
- Pneumocystis jiroveci 31 (18.3%) vs 23 (15.8%) 0.555
- Cryptococcus neoformans 13 (7.4%) vs 11 (7.5%) 1.000
- Toxoplasma gondii 12 (6.8%) vs 6 (4.3%) 0.238
- Candida albicans 12 (6.8%) vs 4 (2.7%) 0.123
- CD4 count (cell/mm³) 417.2±227.1 543.8±234.5 0.000
- HIV RNA viral load (copies/mL) 5600±2226 1509.6±16365.2 0.505

Data are expressed as mean SD, median (interquartile) or as number (percentage) of patients. Comparisons between treatment groups employed the Independent t-test (continuous variables) and Chi-square test (Categorical variables).

### Table 2. Medical treatments among HIV positive patients receiving TDF and nonTDF regimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TDF (N=176)</th>
<th>Non-TDF (N=146)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antiretroviral medications (N, %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zidovudine (AZT)</td>
<td>9 (5.1%)</td>
<td>95 (65.1%)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamivudine (3TC)</td>
<td>165 (92.9%)</td>
<td>114 (78.1%)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevirapine (NVP)</td>
<td>19 (10.8%)</td>
<td>40 (27.4%)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efavirenz (EFV)</td>
<td>121 (69.9%)</td>
<td>52 (35.6%)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stavudine (D4T)</td>
<td>1 (0.6%)</td>
<td>19 (13.2%)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didanosine (DDI)</td>
<td>1 (0.6%)</td>
<td>3 (2.1%)</td>
<td>0.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lopinavir (LPV)</td>
<td>28 (15.9%)</td>
<td>24 (16.4%)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atazanavir (ATV)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>4 (2.7%)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other medications (N, %)
- Statin 13 (7.4%) vs 44 (30.1%) 0.000
- Fibrate 20 (11.6%) vs 29 (20.6%) 0.019
- Co-trimoxazole 18 (10.2%) vs 2 (1.4%) 0.005
- Fluconazole 17 (9.7%) vs 1 (0.7%) 0.000

Data are expressed as number (percentage) of patients. Comparisons between treatment groups employed the Chi-square test (Categorical variables).

### Table 3. Renal function and serum electrolytes among HIV positive patients receiving TDF and nonTDF regimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TDF (N=176)</th>
<th>Non-TDF (N=146)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BUN (mg/dL)</td>
<td>10.9±4.2</td>
<td>12.6±9</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum Cr (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.9±0.2</td>
<td>0.9±0.6</td>
<td>0.842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated GFR (mL/min/1.73 m²)</td>
<td>100±17.8</td>
<td>97.5±19.6</td>
<td>0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na (mEq/L)</td>
<td>139±2.1</td>
<td>139±1.9</td>
<td>0.432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K (mEq/L)</td>
<td>4.1±0.4</td>
<td>4.1±0.3</td>
<td>0.320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl (mEq/L)</td>
<td>102±2.5</td>
<td>102±2.2</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO3 (mEq/L)</td>
<td>26.2±2.6</td>
<td>25.8±2.5</td>
<td>0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca (mg/dL)</td>
<td>9.2±0.4</td>
<td>9.2±0.5</td>
<td>0.415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO4 (mg/dL)</td>
<td>3.2±0.5</td>
<td>3.4±0.5</td>
<td>0.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric (mg/dL)</td>
<td>5.1±1.5</td>
<td>5.2±1.6</td>
<td>0.505</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean SD. Comparisons between treatment groups using the Independent t-test (continuous variables).
Discussion

This study evaluated the renal safety of TDF among HIV positive patients. Overall, results of this study showed that receiving TDF based antiretroviral therapy exhibited equivalent renal safety as non-TDF based antiretroviral therapy in this patient population. Low frequency of treatment limiting renal impairment and tubular dysfunction was observed among HIV positive patients receiving TDF in Thailand. No statistically significant difference in mean GFR and tubular defect markers was observed between the two groups. Data on the renal safety of TDF in developing countries is limited. Two clinical studies in Caucasian populations reported a low incidence of TDF-associated renal injury and tubular dysfunction. Several clinical studies in African populations have also reported approximately 1 to 2% of TDF-associated nephropathy among HIV positive patients. One study in a Chinese population showed that patients exposed to TDF regimen exhibited greater renal function decline than the control, but renal function always fluctuated within normal range. Recently, a meta-analysis among 7,496 subjects reported that the risk for acute kidney injury was 0.7% higher (95% CI, 0.2 to 1.2) among TDF-treated subjects than among subjects receiving HAART without TDF. Similar to our findings, our patients on TDF-based antiretroviral therapy were not more likely to experience renal dysfunction than those receiving other regimens.

However, the heterogeneity of renal outcomes with TDF reflected differences in our study design. A high incidence of TDF associated nephropathy have been reported in case control studies or retrospective cohort studies, but renal function decline was lower in the studies that systematically reported adverse effects and in randomized clinical trials.

Therefore, biochemical laboratory monitoring in terms of long term safety is required regarding randomized control studies. Based on our results and related studies, TDF appeared to be comparable regarding renal safety with other antiretroviral treatments. Concerning normal renal function, TDF-based antiretroviral therapy may be less harmful than previously thought. TDF-induced nephropathy is a reversible form of proximal tubular injury, manifesting distinctive proximal tubular cosinophilic inclusions and ultrastructural mitochondrial abnormalities. Apoptosis and mitochondrial DNA depletion of tubular cells might be involved in the pathogenesis of TDF-induced nephropathy. Clinical manifestation of proximal tubular dysfunction including albuminuria, hypophosphatemia, hypouricemia and tubular acidosis have been described among patients receiving TDF. Because acid base and electrolyte disturbances are quite common among HIV positive patients and have many possible causes, concluding whether these complications are a direct consequence of TDF is difficult. The etiology of these abnormalities seems to be multifactorial and unrelated to TDF or renal dysfunction. In addition, our findings and previous meta-analysis confirm that all proximal tubular dysfunction biomarkers did not differ between TDF-treated and non-treated patients. This study had some limitations. First, because of the crosssectional study, we could not evaluate long term renal outcomes, and we could not assess the mechanisms of TDF associated nephropathy and tubular cell injury. Second, the outcomes of this study were based on primarily Asian patients with normal renal function and may not be generalizable to a special population, e.g., impaired renal function and high comorbid illnesses. Finally, noting that urine electrolytes, uric acid, phosphate and albumin and creatinin ratio were not obtained and measured is important, which may make diagnosis of tubular defects less reliable.
This study had some limitations. First, because of the cross-sectional study, we could not evaluate long term renal outcomes, and we could not assess the mechanisms of TDF associated nephropathy and tubular cell injury. Second, the outcomes of this study were based on primarily Asian patients with normal renal function and may not be generalizable to a special population, e.g., impaired renal function and high comorbid illnesses. Finally, noting that urine electrolytes, uric acid, phosphate and albumin and creatinine ratio were not obtained and measured is important, which may make diagnosis of tubular defects less reliable.

Conclusion

In conclusion, among HIV positive patients receiving TDF, mean renal function and electrolyte abnormalities were similar to non-TDF treatment. The authors of this study conclude that TDF-based first line ART can be safely given even without renal monitoring in settings with normal renal function. The renal safety of TDF treatment will require further evaluation in longer duration studies and high risk groups regarding kidney injury.
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